

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and for use by the intended recipient only.

The suggested reforms of libel laws in Scotland are welcome, but they need to be strengthened. It's good to see:

The inclusion of the serious harm test (section 1(2)(b)).

- A single publication rule (section 30(3)) - meaning that the time limit for bringing defamation claims is not reset every time a publication is shared, for instance by retweeting.
- The reduction of the time limit for bringing proceedings to one year (section 30(2)(b)).
- The Derbyshire principle (section 2), which prevents public bodies from bringing actions for defamation, gain statutory footing.
- The introduction of a public interest defence (section 6).

However, as the draft stands:

- The public interest defence is weaker than in the Defamation Act 2013. The public interest defence is new to Scots law, so it's fantastic to see its introduction, but it must be robust.
- Corporations would still have the right to sue. Defamation law was designed to protect the rights of individuals: corporate bodies do not have a private life, personal identity or psychological integrity. Corporations also have other means to defend themselves, such as malicious falsehood and laws governing advertising, competition and business practices - they do not need protection under defamation law.

Defamation law in Scotland needs to be as strong - or stronger - than the Defamation Act 2013.

Philip Hirst

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

\*\*\*\*\*

This email has been received from an external party and  
has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

\*\*\*\*\*